

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/015,078	01/29/1998	SEBASTIAN SUERBAUM	2356-0073-01	5317
	7590 05/19/2003			
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			EXAMINER	
GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET N W WASHINGTON, DC 200053315			TURNER, SHARON L	
W/ISIIIIIII	N, DC 200033313		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	7 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/015,078	SUERBAUM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sharon L. Turner	1647	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONThus, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	0 January 2003 .		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>66-87</u> is/are pending in the applica			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>66-87</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>66-87</u> are subject to restriction and on Papers	for election requirement.		
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		•	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	All b) Some * c) None of:			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	lication No. <i>08/671,757</i> .	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E se the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	ceived in this National Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	rovisional application has beer	received.	
Attachment(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 25	

Application/Control Number: 09/015,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/671,757, filed on 6-28-1996. Regarding the US priority, the status of 08/671,757 should be updated within the first paragraph of the specification as being issued US Patent No. 6,476,213.

Election/Restriction

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, in Paper No. 24 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of the bacterial strain and extract do not represent an undue burden with respect to the product. This is found persuasive because as to newly presented claims 66-87. However, restriction of the methods is maintained as the inventions are distinct for the reasons of record. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 or 103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Art Unit: 1647

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 66-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as obvious over Suerbaum et al, Am. J. of Gastroent., August 15, 1994, 89(8):1331.

Applicants invention is described on pages 14-18, see in entirety. Specific reference at page 14 line 21 to page 15 line 6 describes the generation of degenerate nucleotide primers (SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2) corresponding to LcrD/FlbF homologue amino acid sequences. These primers were used in gene amplification

Art Unit: 1647

experiments on chromosomal DNA of *H. pylori* and screening of a *H. pylori* cosmid gene bank with the amplified sequences. Page 15, lines 27-34 describes the invention as 2196 nucleotides, encoding a 80.1 kD protein. Page 17, describes the generation of isogenic mutants of *H. pylori* in the flbA gene by disruption with a kanamycin resistance cassette and genetic cross-over, the FlbA mutants being characterized by being absent of flagella, motility, FlbA, and FlbB proteins. Sequences and probes of instant claims are disclosed, see SEQ ID NO:3, 6, and 7.

These described characteristics correspond to the characteristics of Suerbaum et al, 1994 disclosed in AJG, August 1994 with the exception of the disclosed SEQ ID's. For example Suerbaum et al, 1994 disclose, a fragment of the H. pylori flbA gene amplified from H. pylori chromosome using degenerate primers based on homologous regions of LcrD/FlbF homolgues of H. pylori. This amplified fragment was used to screen a H. pylori cosmid gene bank. After subcloning, the gene 2.1 kb, designated flbA, was sequenced. The predicted FlbA gene product had a predicted molecular weight of 79kDa and exhibited high degrees of homology with the other known members of the LcrD/FlbF protein family. In addition, Suerbaum et al 1994, disclose the generation of isogenic mutants by disruption with a kanamycin resistance cassette and allelic exchange, the mutants also being characterized by an absence of flagella, motility and FlbA and FlbB proteins. The FlbA gene which corresponds in characteristics and cloning steps is reported to have been sequenced and reportedly shares the same homologies. It is also noted that the flbA mutants were characterized by SDS-PAGE, Western blotting, motility testing and electron microscopy and thus the

Art Unit: 1647

reference is deemed to disclose the process limitations noted with respect to generation of the mutant and extract preparations for such analysis. The novelty of the invention lies in the product bacterium and extract and not the process limitations by which they are obtained, see in particular MPEP 2113.

Suerbaum et al. 1994 and instant application share in common a single inventor, the origin of the degenerate nucleotide primer sequence from LcrD/FlbF homologue sequence, amplification of *H. pylori* chromosomal DNA using such primers, screening of a H. pylori cosmid library, isolation of a 2.1 kb gene designated the flbA gene of H. pylori, the molecular weight of approximately 79-80.1 kDa (considered within normal predictable and experimental error to be the same molecular weight), the generation of isogenic mutants constructed by disruption with a kanamycin resistance cassette, characterization by motility testing which reveals the mutants as devoid of flagella, absent expression of FlaA or FlaB proteins, and nonmotile. Although Suerbaum et al, 1994 is silent to the nucleotide sequence which instant application discloses, Suerbaum et al, 1994 nonetheless states that such sequencing was performed and was therefore known. The characteristics therefore indicate that Suerbaum et al 1994 and instant application are the same, absent evidence to the contrary. As the USPTO has insufficient resources to test or compare the mutants, the burden is upon the applicant to show unobvious distinction between the claimed invention and the prior art, see MPEP 2112.01.

6. Claims 66-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as obvious over Suerbaum et al, Abstracts of the

Art Unit: 1647

Gen. Meeting. Of American Society of Microbiol., p. 181, vol. 95, 21-25 May, 1995.

Applicants invention is described on pages 14-18, see in entirety. Specific reference at page 14 line 21 to page 15 line 6 describes the generation of degenerate nucleotide primers (SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2) corresponding to LcrD/FlbF homologue amino acid sequences. These primers were used in gene amplification experiments on chromosomal DNA of *H. pylori* and screening of a *H. pylori* cosmid gene bank with the amplified sequences. Page 15, lines 27-34 describes the invention as 2196 nucleotides, encoding a 80.1 kD protein. Page 17, describes the generation of isogenic mutants of *H. pylori* in the flbA gene by disruption with a kanamycin resistance cassette and genetic cross-over, the FlbA mutants being characterized by being absent of flagella, motility, FlbA, and FlbB proteins. Sequences and probes of instant claims are disclosed, see SEQ ID NO:3, 6, and 7.

These described characteristics correspond to the characteristics of Suerbaum et al, Suerbaum et al, Abstracts of the Gen. Meeting. Of American Society of Microbiol., p. 181, vol. 95, 21-25 May, 1995 with the exception of the disclosed SEQ ID's. For example Suerbaum et al, 1995 disclose, cloning, sequencing and mutagenesis of the *H. pylori flbA* gene a homologous regions of LcrD/FlbF family. The novelty of the invention lies in the product bacterium and extract and not the process limitations by which they are obtained, see in particular MPEP 2113.

Suerbaum et al, 1995 and instant application share in common a single inventor and the characteristics indicate that Suerbaum et al., 1995 and instant application are the same, absent evidence to the contrary. As the USPTO has insufficient resources to

Art Unit: 1647

test or compare the mutants, the burden is upon the applicant to show unobvious distinction between the claimed invention and the prior art reference, see MPEP 2112.01.

7. Claims 66-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter.

French patent application 95 08068 to which instant application claims priority sets forth instant application, however the inventors are not shared. Instant application was invented by S. Suerbaum. 95 08068 was invented by S. Suerbaum and A. Labigne, however the invented subject matter and claims appear co-extensive.

In addition, Am. J. Gastroenterol., 1994 and Suerbaum et al, Abstracts of the Gen. Meeting. Of American Society of Microbiol., p. 181, vol. 95, 21-25 May, 1995, sets forth instant invention as discussed above. The inventors of Am J. Gastroenterol., 1994 and Abstracts of the Gen. Meeting. Of American Society of Microbiol., p. 181, vol. 95, 21-25 May, 1995 are S. Suerbaum, A. Schmitz, C. Josenhans and A. Labigne. The subject matter appears to be the same as instant invention. However the inventors, with the exception of S. Suerbaum are not shared with instant application. Thus, the record reflects 2 different sets of inventors not shared in common with instant application. Clarification of the inventorship is required.

Status of Claims

- 8. No claims are allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. May 6, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600